IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.52524 of 2008
PAPPU MAHTON … … Petitioner
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR … … Opp. Party
For the petitioner: Shri Birendra Pd.Singh
For the State: Shri Dashrath Mehta,APP
---

2. 22.12.2008 Heard.

The renewal prayer is on the ground that since framing of the charge in 2007 no witness has been examine in the case by the prosecution.

I believe, the learned trial Judge who is hearing Sessions Trial No.374 of 2008 arising out of Budha Colony P.S.Case No. 38 of 2007 is quite aware of the situation which could be obtained to him and the Court could be rest assured that the learned Judge must be taking all steps necessary to be taken for production of the witnesses including issuing a notice to the Officer-in-charge of the Police Station appending therein the name of the chargehseeted witnesses for their production before him for evidence.

The reasons for not granting bail to the petitioner under the facts of the case

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

are fully catalogued in my order dated 21.5.2008 passed jointly in two Cr. Misc. petitions headed by Cr. Misc. No. 8965 of 2008. I am not inclined to reconsider them. They stand out under the facts of the case. The trial has to be expedited and I believe it shall be expedited by the trial court.

The petition is dismissed.

(Dharnidhar Jhar,J.)

Kanth

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL